



Diet, weight, physical activity and cancer

Dr Rachel Thompson, Head of Research Interpretation





Outline

- World Cancer Research Fund network
- Continuous Update Project
- Recommendations for cancer prevention and evidence behind them
- Advice for people living with cancer
- Publications from WCRF in 2017



Analysing research on cancer prevention and survival







prevention and survival

The World Cancer Research Fund Network





WCRF: What we do



- We fund research on the relationship of diet, physical activity and body fatness to cancer risk
- We interpret the accumulated scientific literature in the field of cancer, diet, physical activity and body fatness, and use this to derive Cancer Prevention Recommendations
- We educate people through our national Health Information Departments
- We advocate wider implementation of effective policies through our international Policy and Public Affairs Department
- We do all this to help people and populations to reduce their chances of developing cancer





Why cancer?

- Cancer affects everyone in some way
- Cancer is preventable about third of cases of common cancers linked to diet, weight, physical activity
- Alongside smoking, diet, weight and physical activity are the most important factors affecting cancer risk
- A healthy lifestyle will also **prevent other diseases**







Continuous Update Project

- The CUP analyses global cancer prevention and survival research linked to diet, nutrition and physical activity. Among experts worldwide it is a trusted, authoritative scientific resource, which underpins current guidelines and policy for cancer prevention
- It ensures the WCRF network Recommendations for Cancer
 Prevention are based on the latest evidence
- The CUP is produced in partnership with the American Institute for Cancer Research, World Cancer Research Fund UK, World Cancer Research Fund NL and World Cancer Research Fund HK.



www.wcrf.org/cupreports



www.wcrf.org

CUP





Underlying principles

- Exposures include diet, nutrition (including body composition) and physical activity
- Cancers with some evidence of link with above
- Identify causal exposures protective or adverse
- Use most robust methods for systematically reviewing evidence on epidemiology
- Epidemiological evidence of a link needs to be supported by biological mechanisms
- Transparency





People involved in the CUP

- More than 100 scientists
- 17 countries



Team of researchers for the CUP at Imperial College London



CUP Panel of independent experts

Includes CUP Expert
 Panel, researchers, peer
 reviewers, advisers and
 more





CUP database

- World's largest central resource for scientific evidence on cancer, diet, weight and physical activity. It's continually updated as papers are published.
 - About 9,000 papers on prevention (17 cancers) and survival (breast cancer only)







Hierarchy of evidence

Pooled analyses and meta-analyses RCT Cohort Case control Ecological Opinion





CUP analyses



Analysing research on cancer prevention and survival

Figure 3: Highest versus lowest analysis of intake of salt-preserved foods and stomach cancer



Figure 2: Dose-response meta-analysis of intake of salt-preserved vegetables and stomach cancer, per 0.5 serving (20 grams) per day



Figure 1: Non-linear dose-response association of fruit intake and stomach cancer



Figure 4: Dose-response meta-analysis of processed meat intake and stomach cancer, per 50 grams per day







prevention and survival

www.wcrf.org

CUP mechanisms work

For any exposure (e.g. red/processed meat) what is the mechanism that causes (or protects from) cancer?



Epidemiological and mechanistic info together give more complete picture on risk factors for cancer



Impact of diet, nutrition & physical activity on cancer







Grading criteria

Predefined requirements for

- Number and types of studies
- Quality of exposure and outcome assessment
- Heterogeneity within and between study types
- Exclusion of chance, bias or confounding
- Biological gradient
- Evidence of mechanisms
- Size of effect





Grading the evidence

		Decreases risk	Increases risk
Strong evidence	Convincing Probable	Basis for recon	nmendations
Limited evidence	Limited - suggestive Limited – no conclusion		
Strong evidence	Substantial effect on risk unlikely		





From conclusions to recommendations

- Exposures graded convincing or probable
- Basis for policies, programmes, personal choices
- Broad based foods rather than nutrients
- Global
- Cancer in general
- Prevention of other diseases







WCRF Cancer Prevention Recommendations and evidence behind them





Be a healthy weight

BODY FATNESS						
	DECREASES RISK	INCREASES RISK				
Convincing		Oesophagus (AC); pancreas; liver; colorectum; breast (postmenopause); endometrium; kidney				
Probable	Breast (premenopause)	Stomach (cardia); prostate (advanced); gallbladder; ovary				
Substantial effect on risk unlikely	None identified					
	Overweight and obesity linked to increased risk of 11 cancers					



Body fatness as body mass index



Analysing research on cancer prevention and survival

Cancer	RR per 5kg/m ²	Number of cohort studies
Endometrium	1.50 (1.42-1.59)	25
Oesophagus (adenocarcinoma)	1.48 (1.35-1.62)	9
Kidney	1.30 (1.25-1.35)	23
Liver	1.30 (1.16-1.46)	12
Gallbladder	1.25 (1.15-1.37)	8
Stomach (cardia)	1.23 (1.07-1.40)	7
Breast (postmenopause)	1.13 (1.08-1.18)	19
Pancreas	1.10 (1.07-1.14)	23
Colorectum	1.10 (1.04-1.16)	23
Prostate (advanced)	1.08 (1.04-1.12)	23
Ovary	1.06 (1.02-1.11)	25
Riso teviewed waist circumference	and waist-hip Tatio	16





www.wcrf.org

How does body fatness affect cancer risk?

- Fat in the body (adipose tissue) is active → sends signals to the rest of the body and helps to control processes like growth, metabolism and reproductive cycles
- Three main ways that excess fat can affect cancer risk:
- Oestrogen
- Insulin and growth factors
- Inflammation













Insulin and growth factors

Insulin – hormone with a key role in the regulation of blood glucose levels

Cells resistant to effects of insulin

GROWTH



Pancreas produces

insulin to
compensate –
'hyperinsulinemia'





Promotes uncontrolled cell division



insulin affects
 levels of certain
 growth factors







Inflammation



Fat cells in the body



Release specialised immune cells (macrophages)









Recommendation

Keep your weight as low as you can within the healthy range







Move more

PHYSICAL ACTIVITY					
	DECREASES RISK		INCREASES RISK		
	Exposure	Cancer/weight	Exposure	Cancer/weight	
Convincing	Physical activity	Overweight/obesity	Sedentary living	Overweight/obesity	
Probable	Physical activity	Colon, breast (post), endometrium	Television viewing	Overweight/obesity	
Substantial effect on risk unlikely	None identified				

Physical activity linked to a decreased risk of 3 cancers







How does physical activity affect cancer risk?

- Physical activity can reduce the risk of some cancers through these general mechanisms:
- Decreases body fat
- Improves the body's sensitivity to insulin
- Reduces inflammation
- Improves immune function
- Enhances DNA repair













Recommendation

Be physically active for at least 30 minutes every day, sit less





Avoid high calorie foods

HIGH ENERGY FOODS/GLYCAEMIC LOAD

	DECREASES RISK		INCREASES RISK			
	Exposure	Cancer/weight	Exposure Cancer/weigh			
Convincing						
Probable			Energy-dense foods Fast foods	Overweight/obesity Overweight/obesity		
		Glycaemic load Endometrium				
Substantial effect on risk unlikely	None identified					





Avoid sugary drinks

NON-ALCOHOLIC DRINKS

	DECREASES RISK		INCREASES RISK	
	Exposure	Cancer/weight	Exposure	Cancer/weight
Convincing			Arsenic in drinking water	Lung
Probable	Coffee	Liver & endometrium	Sugary drinks Arsenic in drinking water Mate	Overweight/obesity Bladder & skin Oesophagus (SCC)
Substantial effect on risk unlikely	Coffee - Pancreas			









Recommendation

Limit high-calorie foods (particularly processed foods high in fat or added sugar, or low in fibre) and avoid sugary drinks







Enjoy more grains, veg, fruits and beans; For cancer prevention don't rely on supplements

PLANT FOODS AND DIETARY SUPPLEMENTS

	DECREASES RISK		INCREASES RISK	
	Exposure	Cancer/weight	Exposure	Cancer/weight
Convincing	Foods containing dietary fibre	Colorectum	High-dose beta- carotene supplements	Lung
Probable	Low-energy dense foods Vegetables Fruits Calcium supplements	Overweight/obesity Mouth/throat Lung & Mouth/throat Colorectum		
Substantial effect on risk unlikely	Foods and supplements containing beta-carotene – prostate and skin			







Recommendations

Eat a wide variety of whole grains, vegetables, fruits and pulses such as beans.
 Eat a healthy diet rather than relying on supplements to protect against cancer





Limit red and avoid processed meat

ANIMAL FOODS

	DECREASES RISK		INCREASES RISK	
	Exposure	Cancer	Exposure	Cancer
Convincing			Red meat	Colorectum
			Processed meat	Colorectum
Probable	Milk	Colorectum	Processed meat	Non-cardia stomach cancer
			Cantonese-style salted fish	Nasopharynx
Substantial effect on risk unlikely		None i	dentified	







Recommendation

- Eat less than 500g (cooked weight) a week of red meat, such as beef, pork and lamb.
- Eat little, if any, processed meat such as ham and bacon







How do red & processed meats affect cancer risk?

- Red and processed meat can increase cancer risk through the following mechanisms:
- Contain haem which promotes the formation of potentially carcinogenic compounds
- Processed meat contains nitrates and nitrites













Headlines from October 2015

SAUSAGES, bacon and burgers are as big a cancer threat as cigarettes, global health bosses are to rule.

Sausages 'give you cancer'

Processed meat to be ranked among most carcinogenic substances

Bacon and other processed meats cause cancer, claims WHO report

Farmers and the meat industry have expressed concern about the impact on sales if the organisation lists processed meat as a carcinogen

Bacon, burgers and sausages are a cancer risk, say world health chiefs: Processed meats added to list of substances most likely to cause disease alongside cigarettes and asbestos





IARC/WHO: Carcinogenicity of the consumption of red meat and processed meat



- Processed meat: carcinogenic to humans (Group 1)
- Red meat: probably carcinogenic to humans (Group 2A)
- Evidence mainly for colorectal
- Some evidence for processed meat and stomach cancer; red meat with pancreatic and prostate cancer
- A working group of 22 experts reviewed about 800 studies





For cancer prevention, don't drink alcohol

ALCOHOLIC DRINKS

	DECREASES RISK	INCREASES RISK
Convincing		Mouth/throat; oesophagus (SCC); liver; colorectum (men); breast (pre & post)
Probable	Kidney	Stomach; colorectum (women)
Substantial effect on risk unlikely	None i	dentified

Alcohol consumption linked to an increased risk of 6 cancers







Alcohol (as ethanol)

Cancer	RR per 10g/d	Number of cohort studies	Threshold
Oesophagus (squamous cell carcinoma)	1.25 (1.12-1.41)	6	
Colorectum	1.10 (1.06-1.13)	8	
Breast (premenopause)	1.09 (1.01-1.17)	5	
Breast (postmenopause)	1.08 (1.05-1.11)	13	
Mouth/throat	N/A	2	
Stomach	1.02 (1.00-1.04)	23	From 45g/d
Liver	1.04 (1.02-1.06)	14	From 45g/d
Kidney	0.92 (0.86-0.97)	7	Up to 30g/d





How does alcohol affect cancer risk?

- There are several theories for how alcohol interacts with our bodies to increase cancer risk:
- Causes direct damage to DNA
- Carries carcinogens into cells
- Interferes with vitamin A metabolism
- Indirect association: can be associated with increased body fatness















Recommendation

For cancer prevention, it's best not to drink alcohol. If you do, limit alcoholic drinks and follow national guidelines







Eat less salt; avoid mouldy grains and cereals

PRESERVATION AND CONTAMINATION

	DECREASES RISK		INCREASES RISK	
	Exposure	Cancer	Exposure	Cancer
Convincing			Aflatoxins	Liver
Probable			Foods preserved by salting	Stomach
			Processed meat	Colorectum; stomach (non- cardia)
			Cantonese-style salted fish	Nasopharynx
Substantial effect on risk unlikely		None id	dentified	



Recommendation



Analysing research on cancer prevention and survival



Limit your salt intake to less than 6g a day by adding less salt and eating less food processed with salt. Avoid mouldy grains and cereals as they may be contaminated by aflatoxins





If you can, breastfeed your baby

LA	СТ		ON	/BEII	١G	BR	EAS	T FED
----	----	--	----	-------	----	----	-----	-------

	DECRE	ASES RISK	INCREASES RISK				
	Exposure	cposure Cancer/weight F		Cancer/weight			
Convincing							
Probable	Lactation Being breastfed	Breast (pre and post) Overweight/obesity					
Substantial effect on risk unlikely	None identified						







Recommendation

If you can, breastfeed your baby for six months before adding other liquids and foods





Growth and development

GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

	DECRE	ASES RISK	INCREASES RISK			
	Exposure	Cancer	Exposure	Cancer		
Convincing			Adult attained height	Colorectum, breast (post), ovary		
Probable			Adult attained height	Pancreas, breast (pre), prostate, kidney		
			Greater birth weight	Breast (pre)		
Substantial effect on risk unlikely	None identified					

No recommendation





prevention and survival

Adult attained height and other diseases

Cause of death	RR per 6.5 cm
Any	0.97 (0.96-0.99)
Vascular	0.94 (0.93-0.96)
Cancer	1.04 (1.03-1.06)
Other	0.92 (0.90-0.94)

From Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration – pooled analysis of 1 million adults (Wormser et al, 2012)







Breast cancer survivors

- Although there were significant associations between some exposures and outcomes, incomplete adjustment for potential confounders restricted the ability to ascribe causality
- CUP Panel concluded that evidence is limited







Criteria for study inclusion

- Medline, EMBASE and the Cochrane Library CENTRAL searched
- Randomised controlled trials
- ≥ 50 women
- ≥ 6 months follow-up
- Prospective cohort (follow-up) studies
- Primary analysis, secondary analysis or ancillary analysis of randomised controlled trials or follow-up studies in breast cancer survivors







Analysing research on cancer

DIET, NUTRITION, PHYSICAL ACTIVITY AND BREAST CANCER SURVIVAL (BY TIMEFRAME)

	Timing of exposure assessment	BEFORE DIAGNOSIS		LESS THAN AFTER DI	12 MONTHS AGNOSIS	12 MONTHS OR MORE AFTER DIAGNOSIS			
		DECREASES RISK	INCREASES RISK	DECREASES RISK	INCREASES RISK	DECREASES RISK	INCREASES RISK		
		Exposure Outcome	Exposure Outcome	Exposure Outcome	Exposure Outcome	Exposure Outcome	Exposure Outcome		
STRONG	Convincing								
EVIDENCE	Probable								
LIMITED EVIDENCE	Limited- suggestive	Physical All mortality BC mortality Foods All mortality fibre	Body fatnessAll mortality BC mortality2 2nd BCTotal fatAll mortalitySaturated fatty acidsAll mortality		Body All mortality fatness BC mortality ² 2nd BC	Physical activityAll mortalityFoods containing fibreAll mortalityFoods containing soyAll mortality	Body All mortality fatness		
	Limited-no conclusion ¹	Fruits, vegetables, foods containing folate, foods containing soy, carbohydrate, glycaemic index, glycaemic load, protein, dietary supplements, alcoholic drinks, dietary patterns, underweight, body fatness (premenopause), adult attained height, energy intake		Foods containing fibre, ca fat, saturated fatty acids, activity, underweight, body adult attained height, ene	rbohydrate, protein, total alcoholic drinks, physical / fatness (premenopause), rgy intake	Fruits, vegetables, foods containing fibre, foods containing folate, foods containing soy, carbohydrate, glycaemic index, glycaemic load, protein, total fat, saturated fatty acids, alcoholic drinks, dietary patterns, physical activity, body fatness, underweight, height, energy intake			
STRONG EVIDENCE	Substantial effect on risk unlikely								

All mortality, All cause mortality; BC mortality, breast cancer mortality; 2nd BC, Second primary breast cancer

STRONG: Evidence strong enough to support a judgement of a convincing or probable causal relationship and generally justify making recommendations

LIMITED: Evidence that is too limited to justify making specific recommendations

1 Includes various exposure-outcome combinations where evidence was available but too limited to draw conclusions. For more details of the outcomes related to the exposures listed here, see the full Breast Cancer Survivors SLR

2 Postmenopause only





Analysing research on cancer

DIET, NUTRITION, PHYSICAL ACTIVITY AND BREAST CANCER SURVIVAL (BY OUTCOME)

	Outcome	ALL CAUSE MORTALITY		BREAST CANCER MORTALITY			SECOND PRIMARY BREAST CANCER						
		DECREASED RISK		INCREASED RISK		DECREASED RISK		INCREASED RISK		DECREASED RISK		INCREASED RISK	
		Exposure	Timeframe	Exposure	e Timeframe	Exposure	• Timeframe	Exposure	e Timeframe	Exposure	Timeframe	Exposure	Timeframe
STRONG	Convincing												
EVIDENCE	Probable												
LIMITED EVIDENCE	Limited- suggestive	Physical activity Foods containing fibre Foods containing soy	Before diagnosis ≥12 months after diagnosis Before diagnosis ≥12 months after diagnosis ≥12 months after diagnosis	Body fatness Total fat Saturated fatty acids	Before diagnosis <12 months after diagnosis ≥12 months after diagnosis Before diagnosis Before s diagnosis	Physical activity	Before diagnosis	Body fatness ¹	Before diagnosis <12 months after diagnosis			Body fatness	Before diagnosis <12 months after diagnosis
STRONG EVIDENCE	Substantial effect on risk unlikely												

STRONG: Evidence strong enough to support a judgement of a convincing or probable causal relationship and generally justify making recommendations LIMITED: Evidence that is too limited to justify making specific recommendations

1 Post menopause only







Recommendation

After cancer treatment, the best advice is to follow the Cancer Prevention Recommendations. Check with your health professional





CUP impact: general

Information from CUP used in major reports

e.g. by Nordic Nutrition Recommendations,

Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition, UK;

IARC, Australian Government, US Dietary Guidelines

Evidence that following WCRF Cancer Prevention
Recommendations decreases risk of cancer and other diseases

Beyond CUP

- New methodology mainstream approach to review mechanistic studies
- Inform direction of future research in area of diet/physical activity/weight and cancer



Carbohydrates and Health



Australian Government

tional

Position Statement - Lifestyle risk factors and the primary prevention of cancer

Lifestyle risk factors and the primary prevention of cancer Created and released: June 2015

Purpose

canceraustralia.gov.au

This position statement has been endorsed by Cancer Council Australia, and is supported by the Cancer Australia Advisory Council.

Cancer Australia position statements address significant clinical issues, emerging issues in cancer control and issues of ongoing interest, using the best available evidence.

The purpose of this position statement is to provide evidence-based information on modifiable lifestyle factors and reduction of cancer risk for cancer organisations, health professionals, medical colleges, media and policy makers. This position statement is also interded to support the development of information for consumers and the community.







www.eatforhealth.gov.au



🚺 norden

Nordic Nutrition Recommendations 2012 Integrating nutrition and physical activity











NOURISHING framework

NOURIS H ING								
	FOOD ENVIRONMENT	FOOD SYSTEM	BEHAVIOUR CHANGE					
	POLICY AREA							
N	Nutrition label standards and regulations on the use of claims and implied claims on foods							
0	Offer healthy foods and set standards in public institutions and other specific settings							
U	Use economic tools to address food affordability and purchase incentives							
R	Restrict food advertising and other forms of commercial promotion							
	Improve nutritional quality of the whole food supply							
S	Set incentives and rules to create a healthy retail and food service environment							
H	Harness food supply chain and actions across sectors to ensure coherence with health							
	Inform people about food and nutrition through public awareness							
N	Nutrition advice and counselling in health care settings							
G	Give nutrition education and skills							
			© World Cancer Research Fund International					

www.wcrf.org/NOURISHING





- **Aim**: formalise a comprehensive package of policies to promote healthy diets and reduce obesity and non-communicable diseases (NCDs)
- For:
- Policymakers
 - To identify where action is needed to promote healthy diets
 - Select and tailor options suitable to different populations
 - Assess if an approach is sufficiently comprehensive
 - Researchers
 - To identify the evidence available for different policies, identify research gaps and as a resource for policy monitoring and evaluation
- Civil society organisations
 - To monitor what governments are doing around the world, benchmark progress and hold them to account



NOURISHING policy Continuous database Analysing research on cancer project

Filter by country or access the full database below Harness supply chain & actions across sectors to ensure coherence with Н health Food environment Choose a country \sim Food system Behaviour change Policies within this category aim to harness the whole food system, and the sectors which influence it, to ensure coherence with healthy eating. This is because the food system, and the policies that affect it, influence our food environment. Nutrition label standards and regulations on the use of claims and implied claims on foods What our food industry produces is in part a response to incentives in the supply chain. Sectors outside of health influence our ability to take policy action. Likewise, if governments implement policies contained in NOURISHING, they have repercussions upstream for the actors and activities in food systems. This wider Offer healthy foods and set standards in public institutions and other relationship to the food supply chain presents an opportunity to support all the policies in NOURISHING with specific settings actions in the food supply chain. Download the table Use economic tools to address food affordability and purchase incentives \sim Examples of policy actions Working with food suppliers to provide healthier ingredients ~ Restrict food advertising and other forms of commercial promotion \checkmark 360 implemented Nutrition standards for public procurement ~ Improve nutritional quality of the whole food supply \sim policies across Public procurement through 'short' chains (e.g. local farmers) ~ Set incentives and rules to create a healthy retail and food service 126 countries \sim environment Supply chain incentives for food production ~ Harness supply chain & actions across sectors to ensure coherence with Community food production ~ health What the action involves and where implemented Inform people about food & nutrition through public awareness \checkmark Community food projects are in place to promote the domestic cultivation of fruit and vegetables in place of imported food products. Nutrition advice and counselling in health care settings \sim CARIBBEAN COUNTRIES MICRONESIA NAURU TONGA Give nutrition education and skills Governance structures for multi-sectoral/stakeholder engagement v





Recent policy briefs





2015



2014

2016





Continuous Update Project 2017

New publications:

Cancer reports for breast and colorectum

Review of cancer prevention recommendations

Diet, nutrition, physical activity and cancer: a global perspective

Systematic review methods for mechanisms





New Expert Report

1997

Food, Nutrition and the Prevention of Cancer: a global perspective



2007



Animal foods

preparation

Alcoholic drinks Preservation, processing

Dietary supplements Breastfeeding

Cancer survivors

2017





www.wcrf.org/cup





Contents

- Cancer prevention recommendations
- Evidence by diet, weight, physical activity
- Evidence by cancer (CUP cancer reports)
- Determinants of weight
- Cancer process, methods, background
- New preventability estimates
- Printed summary report focusing on cancer prevention recommendations





For further information

Rachel Thompson

Head of Research Interpretation

r.thompson@wcrf.org
 @wcrfint @rachellthompson
 facebook.com/wcrfint

www.wcrf.org www.wcrf.org/cup